



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,029	12/27/2001	Kenneth O. Wood	P48-1244-1	9842

7590 01/15/2004
McCormick, Paulding & Huber
City Place II
185 Asylum Street
Hartford, CT 06103-3402

EXAMINER	
PEGGINS, KRISTAL J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2861	

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/034,029

Applicant(s)

WOOD ET AL.

Examiner

K. Feggins

Art Unit

2861

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oct 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 20-24 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-11, 13-16, 18 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8, 12 and 17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 9, 13-16 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hevenor et al. (US 6,138,885).

Hevenor et al. disclose the following claimed limitations:

* regarding claim 1, a method of aligning a sheet material disposed upon a worksurface for enhancing printing or other operations on the sheet material (Abstract),

* placing the sheet material over the worksurface (col 7, lines 35-40, figs 1-3);

* determining the alignment of the sheet material in a coordinate system having first and second axes for specifying locations relative to the worksurface and the sheet material overlaying the worksurface (col 3, lines 23-33, col 4, lines 47-64, col 5, lines 35-59, figs 1, 2, & 6);

* differentially driving spaced portions/alignment/ of the sheet material for moving the sheet material for providing a selected alignment of the sheet material (col, 5, lines 35-59, col 8, line 50-col 9, line 58, figs 1-3, 5a-d & 6).

* regarding claim 2, wherein the step of placing the sheet material over the worksurface includes the step of placing the sheet material over a flat worksurface (figs 1 & 2).

* regarding claim 4, wherein the step of determining the alignment of the sheet material includes determining the skew of the printing sheet, and wherein the step of differentially driving spaced portions for providing a selected alignment includes differentially driving for providing a selected skew of the printing sheet (col 6, ln 49-col 7, ln 2, col 8, lns 6-49)

* regarding claim 5, wherein the step of determining the alignment of the sheet material includes determining the distance of a selected location on an edge of the sheet material from a selected location in the coordinate system, and wherein the step of differentially driving spaced portions of the sheet material for moving the sheet material for providing a selected alignment includes differentially driving spaced portions that the selected location on the edge of the sheet material is within a selected distance of the selected in the coordinate system (col 2, lns 61-65, col 3, lns 1-3, 23-34, col 7, lns 35-65, col 8, lns 28-49, col 9, lns 16-66, col 10, lns 11-17)

* regarding claim 9, wherein the step of differentially driving spaced portions of the sheet material includes providing a pair of spaced frictional drive wheels/roller/ for

Art Unit: 2861

frictionally translating the sheet material relative to the worksurface (col 5, lines 18-59)

contacting the sheet material with the pair of wheels/roller/ (figs 1 & 2, item 50)

* differentially rotating the drive wheels/roller/ (col 5, Ins 18-59, col 10, Ins 31-40, col 11, Ins 36-52, figs 1, 2, & 6)

* regarding claim 13, wherein the step of determining alignment of the sheet material includes providing a sensor mounted with the worksurface/frame mount with worksurface and sensors are mounted on the frame) and including an array of pixels/tool head inherent feature for printing or plotting/ extending in the direction of one of the axes (col 4, lines 49-58, col 7, Ins 54-65, figs 1-2, items 90,92, 94, 96 & 100)

* providing a light source for illuminating the sensor (col 7, Ins 54-65, figs 1-2, items 90,92, 94,96 & 100);

* sensing a first location in the direction of the one of the axes of the edge of the sheet material/sensing the large hash marks/ with the sensor (col 7, Ins 54-65);

* translating the sheet material a known distance along the other of the axes (col 7, Ins 54-65);

* sensing a second location in the direction of the one of the axes of the edge of the sheet material/sensing the smaller hash marks/ with the sensor (col 7, Ins 54-67, col 8, lines 1-17);

* determining the skew of the sheet material from the difference between the first and second locations of the edge and the known translation distance (col 7, Ins 66-col 8, In 27);

* regarding claim 14, subsequent to the step of differentially driving space portion to provide a selected alignment, the steps of determining the residual skew of the sheet material (col 7, lns 3-35, figs 4a-c);

* translating the sheet material for printing thereon, the step of translating including steering the material so as to maintain the residual skew of the sheet material (col 7, lns 3-35, figs 4a-c)

* regarding claim 15, wherein the step of steering includes repeatedly determining the skew of the sheet material so as monitor the residual skew, and differentially driving the left and right actuators as necessary to maintain the residual skew (col 7, lns 3-35, col 8, lns 18-27, 50-64, figs 4a-c).

* regarding claim 16, an apparatus for supporting a sheet material on a worksurface with a selected alignment and for performing work operations on the sheet material responsive to a controller (col 3, lns 12-22, col 5, lns 14-17, fig 1, item 38);

* a workbed providing the worksurface for supporting the sheet material, the worksurface containing a workhead axis and a sheet material translation axis perpendicular to the workhead axis (col 4, lns 49-56, col 5, lns 1-2, fig 1);

* a workhead/tool head, for performing the work operation upon the sheet material, said workhead/tool head/ being translatable parallel to the work axis for printing on the sheet material (col 4, lns 49-56, col 5, lns 1-2, fig 1);

* means for securing the sheet material to the worksurface when working of the sheet material/working position/ and for releasing the sheet material from the worksurface/non-working position/ when translating the sheet material (col 5, lines 28-39);

* sensing means for sensing an edge of the sheet material (col 7, lines 54-65, figs 2-3);

* sheet material translation means for translating the sheet material in the direction of the sheet material translation axis, said sheet material translation means including means for differentially/incrementally/ driving space portions of the sheet material, responsive to said sensing means, for providing a selected alignment of the sheet material relative to the worksurface (col 5, lines 40-46, col 7, line 54-65, col 8, lines 18-58).

* regarding claim 18, wherein said sheet material translation means includes first and second friction wheels spaced apart from one another along the direction of the work axis and disposed for contacting the sheet material, and wherein said means for differentially driving includes first and second actuators coupled to the first and second friction wheels (col 5, lines 18-46, fig 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hevenor et al. (6,138,885) in view of Hevenor (5,875,949).

Hevenor et al. disclose the following claimed limitations:

* regarding claim 3, wherein the step of placing the sheet material over the worksurface includes placing the sheet material over a cylindrical worksurface

Hevenor (5875949) disclose the following claimed limitation:

* regarding claim 3, wherein the step of placing the sheet material over the worksurface includes placing the sheet material over a cylindrical worksurface (col 2, lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the step of placing the sheet material over the worksurface includes placing the sheet material over a cylindrical worksurface, taught by Hevenor et al. ('949) into Hevenor et al. for the purpose of preventing sheet kinking and backup as the sheet is advanced out of processing.

5. Claims 10 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hevenor et al. (6,138,885) in view of Koiwa (JP 63112186).

Hevenor et al. disclose the following claimed limitations:

* regarding claim 10, wherein the step of determining the alignment of the sheet material includes providing a sensor along one of the axes (col 7, lns 54-65, figs 1-2, items 90,92, 94 & 100)

- * a sensor sensing the edge of the sheet material and sensing a first location of the edge (col 7, Ins 54-65);

- * translating the sheet material a known distance along the other of the axes (col 7, Ins 54-65);

- * a sensor sensing the edge of the sheet material and sensing a second location of the edge of the sheet (col 7, Ins 54-65);

- * determining the skew of the sheet material from the difference between the first and second locations of the edge and the known translation distance (col 7, Ins 66-col 8, ln 27).

Hevenor et al. do not disclose the following claimed limitations:

- * a sensor translatable along one of the axes or a sensor translatable for the

- * wherein said sensing means includes a sensor mounted with said workhead for translation with said workhead in the direction of the work axis

Koiwa disclose the following claimed limitations:

- * regarding claim 10, a sensor translatable along one of the axes or a sensor translatable (Abstract, Constitution and figs 1 & 2) purpose of controlling a printing-starting position for a printing paper.

- * regarding claim 19, wherein said sensing means includes a sensor mounted with said workhead for translation with said workhead in the direction of the work axis

Art Unit: 2861

(Abstract, Constitution and figs 1 & 2) purpose of controlling the number of printing positions on the basis of the width of the paper.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a sensor translatable along one of the axes or a sensor translatable; sensing means that includes a sensor mounted with said workhead for translation with said workhead in the direction of the work axis, taught by Koiwa into Hevenor et al. for the purposes of controlling a printing-starting position for a printing paper; and controlling the number of printing positions on the basis of the width of the paper and for the purpose of enhancing operability.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hevenor et al. (6,138,885) in view of Koiwa (JP 63112186) as applied to claims 1 & 10 above, and further in view of Mulay et al. (US 6,398,333 B1).

Hevenor et al. as modified by Koiwa disclose all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

* wherein the step of providing a sensor includes providing an optical sensor for transmitting a beam and receiving light from the reflection of the transmitted beam.

Mulay et al. disclose the following claimed limitations:

* wherein the step of providing a sensor includes providing an optical sensor for transmitting a beam/inherent feature of an LED, transmits a beam of light/ and receiving light from the reflection of the transmitted beam (col 3, lines 59-64) for the purpose of

providing a printer controller that determines, based on the print medium type, an optimal temperature range in which to maintain the print head temperature.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a step for providing a sensor includes providing an optical sensor for transmitting a beam and receiving light from the reflection of the transmitted beam, taught by Mulay et al. into Hevenor et al. as modified by Koiwa for the purpose of providing a printer controller that determines, based on the print medium type, an optimal temperature range in which to maintain the print head temperature.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 20 is allowed.

Claims 6-8, 12 & 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The primary reason for indication allowable subject matter of claims 6-8 is the inclusion of the method steps of differentially driving spaced portions of the sheet material includes providing a pair of translatable sheet material clamps each extending from a first end to second end and spanning a dimension of the sheet material for clamping and translating the sheet material relative to the worksurface, the first ends mechanically coupled and the second ends mechanically coupled such that the clamps are substantially fixedly spaced along the direction of translation; and clamping the sheet material with at least one of the clamps; and differentially translating the first and

Art Unit: 2861

second ends of the clamps. It is this step found in the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, which has been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The primary reason for indication allowable subject matter of claim 12 is the inclusion of the method steps of providing a reflective material under the sheet material for enhancing the difference in reflected light as the sensor is translated across the edge of the sheet material. It is this step found in the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, which has been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for indication allowable subject matter of claim 17 is the inclusion of the limitations that includes the sheet material translation means which includes a pair of translatable clamps each movable between clamped and unclamped conditions relative to the sheet material supported on the worksurface and extending across the worksurface from a first end to second end and parallel to the work axis for translating the sheet material in the direction of the sheet material translation axis, the first ends being mechanically coupled to one another and the second ends being mechanically coupled to one another such that the clamps are substantially fixedly spaced from one another in, the direction of the sheet material translation axis; and wherein the means for differentially driving spaced portions includes first and second actuators, coupled to the first and second ends, respectively, of the clamp pair, for independently translating the first and second ends of the clamp pair in the direction of

the sheet material translation axis. It is these limitations found in the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, which has been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for indication allowable subject matter of claim 22 is the inclusion of the limitations that includes a position sensor in communication with the controller and for providing a signal responsive to the position of said sensor in the direction of the work axis, and wherein said controller, responsive to at least one of the first and second communications and to said signal from said position sensor instructs said first and second actuators for varying the location of the edge of the sheet material in the direction of the work axis. It is these limitations found in the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, which has been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for indication allowable subject matter of claim 22 is the inclusion of the limitations that includes a sheet material translation means includes a pair of translatable clamps each movable between clamped and unclamped conditions relative to the sheet material supported on said worksurface and extending from a first end to second end across the worksurface and parallel to the work axis for translating the sheet material in the direction of the sheet material translation axis, the first ends being mechanically coupled to one another and the second ends being mechanically coupled to one another such that the clamps are substantially fixedly spaced from one another in the direction of the sheet material translation axis; and wherein said first and second actuators, are coupled to the first and second ends, respectively, of said clamp

pair. It is these limitations found in the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, which has been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 23 October 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to Applicants argument that Hevenor '885 does not disclose determining the alignment of the sheet and differentially driving spaced portions of the sheet material is acknowledged. However, Hevenor '885 does disclose determining the alignment of the sheet and differentially driving spaced portions of the sheet material by incrementally/differentially translating the pinch wheel back and forth 9col 5, lines 40-59, col 8, line 50-col 9, line 58, figs 1-3, 5a-d & 6).

With regards to Applicants argument that Hevenor '885 does not disclose sensing means for sensing an edge of the sheet material is acknowledged. However, Hevenor '885 does disclose sensing means for sensing an edge of the sheet material by utilizing optical sensors that detect the large and small hash marks (col 7, line 54-col 8, line17, figs 2-3).


Art Unit: 2861

Communication With The USPTO

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Feggins whose telephone number is 703-306-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 703-308-4896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.


K. Feggins
January 7, 2003